

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,473 04/03/2001		04/03/2001	Michael V. Glazov	99-2051	2114
8840	7590	12/18/2002			
ALCOA IN	1C		EXAMINER		
ALCOA TE 100 TECHN	IICAL DR	IVE		NGUYEN,	CAM N
ALCOA CENTER, PA 15069-0001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1754	フィ
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/825,473

Applicant(s)

Glazov et al.

Examiner

Cam Nguyen

Art Unit 1754



11	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time was be weaklest and the provisions of 25 ft 11.38 (a). In no event, however, may a negly be timely filled after SIX (SI MONTHS from the melting date of this communication.  If the period for entry securities does in less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the straturary microrum of thiny (30) days will be considered turrely.  If the period for entry securities are in the provisions of 25 ft 11.38 (a). In no event, however, may a negly be timely filled after SIX (SI MONTHS from the melting date of the communication of the period of the p		• •							
Interior to the communication.  If the period for eight petitified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the structory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above, the macround structory period will apply and will assign SX (6) MORTHS from the melting date of this communication.  Fichials to early destine bear to exceeded period for any fault, its anable, cause in application to become ABANDOVED (30 LS. £ 1 130).  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10/03/02 (amendment/response)  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10/03/02 (amendment/response)  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-13	THE	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
If NO period for rayly is specified above, the maximum statution period will apply and will express (St. B) MONITHS from the malling date of this communication. Failurs to right with the set or extended period for regly mill, stratute, cause the application to some ABANDOVED (53 U.S. 1.53).  Any really received by the Office later than these moratic after the mailing date of this communication, when it smally feed, may reduce any searced period remailing and some districts.  Status    Note   Property   Property	mailing	g date of this communication.		. , ,					
11	- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	and will expire SIX (6) None application to become	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
29) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-13	Status								
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/03/02	(amendment/re:	sponse)					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)	2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.						
All   Claim(s)   1-13   is/are pending in the application.   4a) Of the above, claim(s)   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.	3) 🗆								
is/are withdrawn from consideration.   is/are allowed.   is/are allowed.   is/are rejected.   is/are rejected.     is/are objected to.   objected to purpose   objected to by the Examiner.   Objected to by the Examiner.   Objected to by the Examiner.   Application is objected to by the Examiner.   Application and or request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Objected to by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   Objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Objec	Disposit	tion of Claims							
Size allowed.   Size allowed.   Size allowed.   Size rejected.   Size rejected.   Size rejected.   Size objected to.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Size objected to by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected to declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   Size objected	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
Solid	4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Solid	5) 🗆	Claim(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)									
Application Papers  9)									
Application Papers  9)	8) 🗆	Claims	are :	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) □ Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)□	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	d in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	ion.					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) □	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	<b>J</b> .					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			priority under 3	15 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(DT-0					
			_						
3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   61   Other:	_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	mai Paterit	Application (P1 0-1 52)				

Application/Control Number: 09/825,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' remarks and amendment, filed on October 3, 2002, have been carefully considered. Claims 14-16 have been canceled.

Claims 1-13 remain pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamano et al., "hereinafter Hamano", (U.S Pat. 5,155,085) <u>taken together with</u> Warthen et al., "hereinafter Warthen", (U.S Pat. 3,853,789) <u>and in combination with</u> Inui et al., "hereinafter Inui", (U.S Pat. 5,573,582).

Hamano discloses a process of preparing transition alumina by forming a solution by dissolving aluminum sulfate and a lanthanum sulfate in water at a temperature of 90°C for 1 hour with stirring; heating the solution to evaporate the water; then further heating to dryness at a temperature of 180°C for 10 hours; and finally calcining and thermally decomposing at a

,

Art Unit: 1754

temperature of 1000°C for 15 hours to produce transition alumina having a γ-alumina phase (see col. 8, Example 1, ln 44-63).

Regarding step 1(a) & step 6(a), the claims are met since Hamano teaches to dissolve aluminum sulfate and lanthanum sulfate in water (see col. 8, Example 1, ln 44-63), which provides for an aqueous solution containing aluminum sulfate and lanthanum sulfate.

Hamano does not disclose step 1(b) & step 6(b), which recite "treating the aluminum solution with a hydroxyl group anion-exchanger to produce a composition comprising aluminum hydroxide". However, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have treated the aqueous solution containing aluminum and lanthanum of Hamano with an alkaline reagent in order to form an aluminum hydroxide and lanthanum hydroxide precipitation having a pH value in the range of between 6 to 11, preferably in the range of between 7.5 and 8.5, with a reasonable success of achieving the transition alumina powders because it is known and taught by Warthen to do so to obtain an improved alumina product having high mechanical strength and attrition resistance, and high degree of macroporosity which is useful as adsorbents or catalyst carriers (see Warthen at col. 2, ln 18-26, col. 1, ln 6-8, & ln 48-52).

Hamano does not disclose step 1(c) & 6(c), which recite "freeze-drying the aluminum hydroxide composition to produce a aluminum hydroxide powder". It would have been *prima* facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated this step into the process of Hamano in order to obtain fine-particulate metal

Art Unit: 1754

hydroxide comprising aluminum hydroxide because Inui fairly discloses freeze-drying technique is a suitable drying technique for producing fine-particulate aluminum hydroxide (see Inui at col. 8, ln 7, ln 20-41, col. 2, ln 16-47).

With respect to step 1(d) & 6(d), Inui discloses calcining the aluminum hydroxide at a temperature of about 500°C to about 1500°C to obtain fine-particulate metal oxide comprising γ-phase containing aluminum oxide (or alumina) as a major component (see Inui at col. 8, ln 21-29). The fine-particulate also contains at least one other component selected from a group including La (see Inui at col. 8, ln 30-34). The calcining step disclosed by Inui provides for the "dehydrating" step set forth in the instant claims, thus meet the claims.

Regarding claims 2, 7, & 8, the claims are met by the reference since Hamano discloses aluminum nitrate and lanthanum nitrate are also suitable as aluminum salt and lanthanide series element salt other than the aluminum and lanthanum sulfate (see Hamano at col. 4, ln 31 & ln 41).

Regarding claims 3 & 9-11, Hamano does not disclose the claimed aluminum nitrate amount, aluminum to lanthanum molar ratios, and concentration of lanthanum oxide in the γ-alumina. It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have optimized the aluminum and lanthanum concentrations in such process in order to obtain a more effective alumina material, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art, see *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claims 4 & 12, the claimed pH range is met by the teaching of the reference because the claimed pH range is overlapping with the disclosed pH range (see Warthen at col. 2, ln 18-26).

With respect to the claimed calcination temperature range in claims 5 & 13, it is met by the teaching of the reference since the claimed range falls within the disclosed temperature range (see Inui at col. 8, ln 21-29).

With respect to the cooling step in claims 5 & 13, Inui does not disclose cooling of the alumina product. However, it is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the cooling step in such process in view of the advantage that cooling of a product to facilitate subsequent handling of the product material. This is conventional and known in the metal oxide production art.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' amendment/response has been carefully considered, but deemed not persuasive for the following reasons.

First, applicants' urging on the Hamano reference for not disclosing two features recited in the instant claims, which is the anion exchanger of step(b) and the freeze-drying of step(c), is also noted. It should be noted that the rejection made is based on a combination of the references together, not individually. Therefore, applicants' urging is not found persuasive.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1754

Second, applicants' urging on the Hamano reference for not teaching the claimed La concentration is noted. It appears that the independent claims 1 and 6 do not require specific La concentration, and that the specific La concentrations are only recited in claims 9-11.

Third, with respect to the Warthen reference, applicants urged that "there is no specific teaching to use a hydroxyl group anion-exchanger. Moreover, there is no description of an intermediate hydroxide product (or aluminum hydroxide) as required in the claimed invention. In the absence of some teaching to even produce a hydroxide, there can be no motivation to use a hydroxide anion-exchanger". Reviewed of the Warthen reference at col. 2, ln 18-36, there is a clear teaching that precipitating a hydrous alumina gel (or aluminum hydroxide gel) from solution by precipitating an acid aluminum salt solution with an addition of an alkaline reagent, the alumina powders are obtained. Thus, applicant's urging is not found persuasive.

Fourth, applicants further urging on the Warthen reference for not considering the La concentration and other features of the claimed invention is also noted, but not found persuasive because the rejection made was based on a combination of the references together, not individually.

Fifth, applicants urged, that "the Inui reference lists freeze drying as one of eight classes of drying techniques, but the patent only considers pneumatic conveying drying (or a hot-air transferring drying) and flash drying as two suitable techniques of the eight potential choices for drying a slurry of aluminum hydroxide. The patent does not state that freeze drying is a viable

Application/Control Number: 09/825,473 Page 7

Art Unit: 1754

alternative to the two techniques specifically disclosed... There is no motivation provided for substituting some other drying techiques (i.e., freeze-drying) for the only two techniques described as useful in solid-liquid separation on an aluminum hydroxide slurry". This is noted, but not found persuasive. It is considered since the reference discloses that freeze-drying technique is suitable for performing a similar process, and with a reasonable success of obtaining a similar product, provides one of ordinary skill in the art the motivation to combine the teaching of the secondary reference with the primary reference.

It is the examiner's position to conclude the combination of the references is proper, thus the rejection made is maintained.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,473

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

6. Claims 1-13 are pending. Claims 1-13 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3923. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am. to 6:00 pm, with alternative Monday

off.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (before finals) and (703) 872-9311 (after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Nguyen/cnn &

December 12, 2002

Patent Examiner

Page 8